IN THE MATTER OF THE ARBITRATION BETWEEN

11 and
12 Claimants,
13 vs.
14
15
16
17 Respondents.

\$

Case No. 13567 ADRS Case No. 15-7131-DSC

DISMISSAL ORDER

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

On Wednesday, April 13, 2016 at 10:00 am, the third Telephonic Arbitration Management Conference came on regular for hearing. Appearing on behalf of Respondents was

and <u>no</u> appearance was made on behalf of Claimants. Claimants also did not appear at the two (2) other Telephonic Arbitration Management Conferences previously held on November 25, 2015 and again on December 18, 2015.

Based upon the Declaration of and the Declaration of and the supporting papers and supplemental papers filed herewith, Respondents have communicated with Claimant who has expressed that she no longer wishes to pursue her lawsuit against Respondents.

As a result, on or about February 24, 2016, Claimant executed a Request for Dismissal with Prejudice. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a true and correct copy. Since Claimants have not appeared for any of the Telephonic Arbitration Management Conferences and based upon the executed Request for Dismissal, the Neutral Arbitrator rules as follows:

FOR GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Claimants

Demand for Arbitration is hereby dismissed as Claimant executed a

Request for Dismissal with Prejudice. Since Claimant never appeared and his

claims are derivative of Claimant claims, his claims are also dismissed.

Therefore, Claimants shall take nothing by way of their Demand for Arbitration against Respondent and the entire action will be dismissed.

Nothing in this Dismissal Order prohibits or restricts the Claimants from discussing or reporting the underlying facts, results, terms and conditions of this Dismissal Order to the Department of Managed Health Care.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED.

DATED: June <u>7</u>, 2016

By:
Hon: Denis S. Choate (Ret

	CIV-11	
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, Sails der nimber, ord edizios); IN PROPRIA PERSONA FOR	courtuse and	
TELEPHONE NO: FAX NO (OBSONE)		
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):		
ATTORNEYFOR (Meme) In Propria Persona		
STREET ADDRESS: IN THE MATTER OF ARBITRATION MARLING ADDRESS: COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO CITY AND ZIP CODE: BRANCH MANAE		
PLAINTIFF/PET(TIONER: ānd		
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:		
et al		
REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL CASE NUMBER CASE N	0. 13567	
A conformed copy will not be returned by the clerk unless a method of return is provided with the di	ocument	
This form may not be used for dismissal of a derivative action or a class action or of any party or ca	use of action in a	
class action. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.760 and 3.770.) 1. TO THE CLERK: Please dismiss this action as follows.		
a. (1) X With prejudice (2) Without prejudice		
b. (1) Complaint (2) Petition		
	on (date): on (date):	
(5) X Entire action of all parties and all causes of action		
(6) Clher (specify):		
The court did _X did not waive court fees and costs for a party in this case. (This information ma, the clerk. If court fees and costs were waived, the declaration on the back of this form must be completed) ale: February, 2016	y be obtained from	
IN PROPRIA FERSONA (SIGNATURE)	······································	
Attorney or party without attorney for:		
dismissal requested is of specified parties only of specified causes of action IN PROPRIA PERSONA In of specified cross-complaints only, so state and identify the panies. Plaintiffication or Deference of the parties of the parti		
uses of action, or cross-complaints to be dismissed.	dant/Respondent	
TO THE CLERK: Consent to the above dismissel is hereby given **	<u> imant</u>	
Date:		
PE OR FRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY) Altorney or party without altorney for:		
a a cross-compania or rasponsa (family law) seeking affirmative relief - is on file, the attorney for cross-complianment (resentants) mass		
	fant/Respondent	
Cross-Complainant		
be completed by clerk)	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	
i i ummaser entered as requested on Idata)		
Dismissal entered as requested on (date): Dismissal entered on (date): as to only (name)		
Dismissal entered on (dete): as to only (name):		
Dismissal entered on (date): Dismissal not entered as requested for the following reasons (specify):		
Dismissal entered on (date): Dismissal not entered as requested for the following reasons (specify): a Attorney or party without attorney not filled on (date): b Attorney or party without attorney not notified. Filipp party failed to provide		
Dismissal entered on (date): Dismissal not entered as requested for the following reasons (specify): a. Attorney or party without attorney notified on (date): b. Attorney or party without attorney not notified. Filing party failed to provide a copy to be conformed means to return conformed copy		
Dismissal entered on (date): Dismissal not entered as requested for the following reasons (specify): a. Attorney or party without attorney notified on (date): b. Attorney or party without attorney not notified. Filing party failed to provide	. Daputy	
Dismissal entered on (date): Dismissal not entered as requested for the following reasons (specify): a. Attorney or party without attorney notified on (date): b. Attorney or party without attorney not notified. Filing party failed to provide a copy to be conformed means to return conformed copy. Clerk, by	Deputy Page 1 of 2 Ciril Procedure, \$ 581 st seq.	

EXPLAIT A

Honorable Dennis S. Choate (Ret.) 1 ADR SERVICES, INC. 19000 MacArthur Boulevard, Suite 550 2 Irvine, California 92612 (949) 863-9800 PH 3 (949) 863-9888 FAX 4 5 6 7 ADR SERVICES, INC. 8 9 10 ADRS Case No. 15-4830-DSC 11 Claimants, 12 ORDER RE: RESPONDENTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 13 VS. 14 Hon. Dennis S. Choate, 15 Judge of the Superior Court (Ret.) 16 17 Respondents. 18 19 20 The Motion for Summary Judgment of Respondents came before the Arbitrator for regular 21 hearing by Hon. Dennis Sheldon Choate (Ret.) on December 13, 2016. 22 After full consideration of all of the evidence presented, the arguments of each party, and 23 the authorities submitted by counsel, this Arbitrator finds Respondents have provided a prima 24 facie case for summary judgment, and Claimants and have failed to 25 timely and properly oppose the summary judgment filed by Respondents, and summary judgment 26 should therefore be granted. 27 28

1

Claimants failed to timely produce sufficient evidence which would substantiate their claim that the standard of care was breached by Respondents. The finding on the Respondents' prima facie claim stands, and the motion is granted.

Claimants have failed to produce evidence that Respondents negligently caused or contributed to Claimants' injuries. In addition, Claimants failed to obey a previous order from the Arbitrator. That order required Claimant to sign a release authorization for her psychiatric medical records within ten days of the date of the order. She failed to do so. The release was not conveyed to Respondent until December 8, 2016 making Respondents' pre-arbitration preparation of the psychiatric damages issue impossible from a practical point of view.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Motion for Summary Judgment of Respondents be granted and that judgment shall be entered forthwith in favor of Respondents and against Claimants.

Nothing in this arbitration decision prohibits or restricts the enrollee from discussing or reporting the underlying facts, results, terms and conditions of this decision to the Department of Managed Healthcare.

Dated: 1-3-17

Hon. Dennis S. Choate
Judge of the Superior Court (Ret.)

Honorable Dennis S. Choate (Ret.) ADR SERVICES, INC. 19000 MacArthur Boulevard, Suite Irvine, California 92612 (949) 863-9800 PH (949) 863-9888 FAX	e 550	
	ADR SERVICES, INC.	
Claimants, vs.		: RESPONDENTS' UMMARY JUDGMENT
Respondents.		
Respondents on December 13, 201 motion, a copy of the Order of whi		gment as requested in said e,
favor of Respondents and against C		and that Claiman

Nothing in this arbitration decision prohibits or restricts the enrollee from discussing or reporting the underlying facts, results, terms and conditions of this decision to the Department of Managed Healthcare.

Dated: 1/3/14

Hon, Dennis S. Choate
Judge of the Superior Court (Ret.)