

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	CUM_2001	CUM_2002	CUM_2003	CUM_2004	CUM_2005	CUM_2006
1	Number of NAs in Service at end of reporting period	306	297	287	309	306	326
2	Number of persons added to OIA Pool	42		439	481	515	548
3	Number of NAs in So. Ca. pool	169	168	169	177	172	181
4	Number of NAs in No. Ca. pool	117	110	103	112	118	130
5	Number of NAs in San Diego Pool	40	42	50	61	59	60
6	Number of NAs who left pool	30	24	94	104	131	145
7	Number of NAs OIA terminated			58	67	132	134
8	Number of NAs who serve on more than one of our panels	20	23	34	39	40	39
9	Number of NAs who are former judges	102	93	105	109	119	121
10	Percentage of pool - NAs who are former judges	33 %	31 %	37 %	35 %	39 %	37.12 %
11	Number of NAs who are former judges (Northern California)	46	41	43	41	45	42
12	Percentage of pool - NAs who are former judges (Northern California)	39 %	37 %	42 %	37 %	38 %	32.31 %
13	Number of NAs who are former judges (Southern California)	47	46	55	60	64	68
14	Percentage of pool - NAs who are former judges (Southern California)	29 %	27 %	33 %	34 %	37 %	37.57 %
15	Number of NAs who are former judges (San Diego)	9	11	17	21	25	25
16	Percentage of pool - NAs who are former judges (San Diego)	32 %	26 %	34 %	34 %	42 %	41.67 %
17	Number of persons in OIA pool who had served as NA	241	258	260	276	266	279
18	Percentage of persons in OIA pool who had served as NA	79 %	87 %	91 %	90 %	87 %	85.58 %
19	Lowest of range in number of appointments of people in OIA pool	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	Highest of range in number of appointments of people in OIA pool	76	86	97	105	126	147
21	Number of times the NA at the high end of range was jointly selected	59	66	73	78	41	45
22	Average number of appointments per person in OIA pool	5.	8.	9.25	10.36	11.62	12.73
23	Median number of appointments per person in OIA pool	2	4	5	5	5	5
24	Mode number of appointments per person in OIA pool	0	0	1	1	0	0
25	Number of different NAs who wrote awards after hearing	136	176	214	246	269	281
26	Number of NAs who wrote only one award after hearing	78	91	99	104	107	104
27	Number of NAs who wrote two awards after hearing	36	42	54	60	64	65
28	Have all persons in OIA pool been misted at least once on an LPA?	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
29	Average number of times Northern California panel members listed on an LPA	66	95	138	194	209	223
30	Median number of times Northern California panel members listed on an LPA	69	102	155	212	231	240
31	Mode number of times Northern California panel members listed on an LPA	39	131	165	32	81	383

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	CUM_2001	CUM_2002	CUM_2003	CUM_2004	CUM_2005	CUM_2006
32	Lowest range of times Northern California panel members listed on an LPA	8	1	0	6	0	14
33	Highest range of times Northern California panel members listed on an LPA	107	158	202	333	391	439
34	Lowest range of times Southern California panel members listed on an LPA	1	0	0	1	1	0
35	Highest range of times Southern California panel members listed on an LPA	72	113	141	192	221	241
36	Average number of times Southern California panel members listed on an LPA	36	54	82	115	120	131
37	Median number of times Southern California panel members listed on an LPA	42	61	95	132	139	153
38	Mode number of times Southern California panel members listed on an LPA	44	79	102	115	170	12
39	Lowest range of times San Diego panel members listed on an LPA	1	4	0	3	0	0
40	Highest range of times San Diego panel members listed on an LPA	34	64	84	130	142	152
41	Average number of times San Diego panel members listed on an LPA	11	38	49	61	52	54
42	Median number of times San Diego panel members listed on an LPA	12	35	54	72	36	37
43	Mode number of times San Diego panel members listed on an LPA	12	53	50	72	0	5
44	Number of demands for arbitration the OIA has received from Kaiser	2,968	4,021	5,010	5,871	6,711	7,536
45	Number of demands for arbitration the OIA has received from Northern California	1,453	1,928	2,428	2,845	3,263	3,705
46	Number of demands for arbitration the OIA has received from Southern California	1,364	1,843	2,251	2,621	2,979	3,308
47	Number of demands for arbitration the OIA has received from San	151	250	331	405	469	523
48	Average number of days it has taken Kaiser to submit OIA demands for arbitration (DFA) to the OIA	8.	8.	8.	8.	7.35	21.39
49	Mode number of days it has taken Kaiser to submit OIA demands for arbitration (DFA) to the OIA	0	0	0	1	1	1
50	Median number of days it has taken Kaiser to submit OIA demands for arbitration (DFA) to the OIA	4	4	4	4	4	4
51	Lowest number of days it has taken Kaiser to submit OIA demands for arbitration (DFA) to the OIA	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	CUM_2001	CUM_2002	CUM_2003	CUM_2004	CUM_2005	CUM_2006
52	Highest number of days it has taken Kaiser to submit OIA demands for arbitration (DFA) to the OIA	330	330	463	463	463	2,409
53	Number of DFAs in the OIA system that were mandatory	825	1,748	2,688	3,516	4,327	5,123
54	Number of opt-in DFAs submitted to the OIA	2,143	2,273	2,322	2,355	2,384	2,414
55	Number of claimants who opted in to the OIA system	1,374	1,456	1,489	1,512	1,530	1,552
56	Number of opt-in claimants who affirmatively refused to enter the OIA system	43	44	46	47	49	50
57	Number of opt-in DFAs that settled before the deadline to opt-in had arrived	8	8	11	11	11	11
58	Number of opt-in DFAs withdrawn before the deadline to opt-in had arrived	12	17	19	19	19	19
59	Number of opt-in DFAs returned to Kaiser due to lack of response from claimants	698	744	755	763	774	781
60	Number of opt-in DFAs whose deadline to opt-in had not expired	8	4	2	3	1	1
61	Number of cases OIA has or is administering	2,199	3,204	4,176	5,028	5,857	6,674
62	What number of our cases are medical malpractice cases	2,012	2,873	3,786	4,577	5,341	6,088
63	What percentage of our cases are medical malpractice cases	91.5 %	90 %	91 %	91 %	91 %	91.22 %
64	Number of benefits and coverage cases	32	55	71	86	106	114
65	Percentage of all administered cases that are lien cases	1.5 %	2 %	2 %	2 %	2 %	1.39 %
66	Number of lien cases					51	93
67	Percentage of all administered cases that are benefits and coverage					1 %	1.71 %
68	Number of premises liability cases	34	51	76	97	111	125
69	Percentage of all administered cases that are premises liability cases	1.5 %	1.6 %	2 %	2 %	2 %	1.87 %
70	Number of other tort cases	24	39	49	54	58	64
71	Percentage of all administered cases that are other tort cases	1 %	1.2 %	1 %	1 %	1 %	0.96 %
72	Number of unknown cases	97	182	183	188	190	190
73	Percentage of all administered cases that are unknown cases	4.5 %	5.7 %	4 %	4 %	3 %	2.85 %
74	Number of cases in which attorneys represent claimants	1,616	2,392	3,123	3,818	4,464	5,093
75	Percentage of cases in which attorneys represent claimants	73.5 %	75 %	75 %	76 %	76 %	76.31 %
76	Number of cases in which claimants represent themselves (Pro Per)	583	811	1,050	1,210	1,390	1,578
77	Percentage of cases in which claimants represent themselves (Pro Per)	26.5 %	25 %	25 %	24 %	24 %	23.64 %
78	Number of cases in which a NA has been selected	1,851	2,642	3,551	4,297	5,025	5,712
79	Number of cases in which NA was jointly selected	588	805	1,041	1,241	1,450	1,660
80	Percentage of cases in which NA was jointly selected	32 %	31 %	29 %	29 %	29 %	29.06 %

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	CUM_2001	CUM_2002	CUM_2003	CUM_2004	CUM_2005	CUM_2006
81	Number of cases in which the NA was picked by strike and rank	1,260	1,834	2,506	3,050	3,568	4,046
82	Percentage of cases in which the NA was picked by strike and rank method	68 %	69 %	71 %	71 %	71 %	70.83 %
83	Number of cases in which the NA was selected by court order	3	3	4	6	7	8
84	Number of cases with jointly selected NA where NA is in OIA pool	428	531	696	842	997	1,167
85	Percentage of cases with jointly selected NA where NA is in OIA pool	73 %	66 %	67 %	68 %	69 %	70.3 %
86	Number of cases with jointly selected NA where NA is not in OIA	160	274	345	399	453	493
87	Percentage of cases with jointly selected NA where NA is in OIA pool	27 %	34 %	33 %	32 %	31 %	29.7 %
88	Number of 90 day postponements obtained by claimants	530	982	1,415	1,755	2,157	2,526
89	Number of 90 day postponements obtained by respondents	8	11	12	15	16	18
90	Number of open cases with 90 Day postponements but no NA	82		106	83	91	96
91	Number of times claimants have disqualified a NA	63		227	278	341	386
92	Number of times respondents have disqualified a NA	33		71	73	81	90
93	Number of cases with one disqualified NA	120	188	232	261	307	327
94	Number of cases with two disqualified NAs	14	20	22	21	23	25
95	Number of cases with three disqualified Nas	0	1	3	5	6	7
96	Number of cases with four disqualified Nas	1	2	2	3	4	4
97	Number of cases with five disqualified Nas	0	1	1	3	6	8
98	Number of cases with six disqualified Nas	0	0	0	1	1	3
99	Number of cases in which a NA has been selected (excluding cases where NA recused self)	1,779	3,388	3,388	4,092	4,770	5,432
100	Time (days) to selection of NA in cases with no postponements or disqualifications	24.	25.	25.	25.	25.	24.79
101	Number of cases with no postponements or disqualifications with NA selected	1,305	1,705	2,150	2,550	2,881	3,229
102	Percent of NA selections in cases with no postponements or disqualifications	73 %	68 %	63 %	62 %	60.4 %	59.44 %
103	Time (days) to selection of NA in cases with only postponements	105.	109.	111.	111.	111.	111.05
104	Number of cases with only postponements with NA selected	356	639	1,016	1,297	1,602	1,890
105	Percent of NA selections in cases with only postponements	20 %	25 %	30 %	32 %	33.6 %	34.79 %
106	Time (days) to selection of NA in cases with only disqualifications	64.	64.	66.	64.	65.	64.21
107	Number of cases with only disqualifications with NA selected	88	116	129	141	157	170
108	Percent of NA selections in cases with only disqualifications	5 %	5 %	4 %	3.5 %	3.3 %	3.13 %

Line	Description	CUM_2001	CUM_2002	CUM_2003	CUM_2004	CUM_2005	CUM_2006
109	Time (days) to selection of NA in cases with postponements and disqualifications	148.	160.	158.	158.	161.	163.37
110	Number of cases with postponements and disqualifications with NA selected	30	61	93	104	130	143
111	Percent of NA selections in cases with postponements and disqualifications	2 %	2 %	3 %	2.5 %	2.7 %	2.63 %
112	Time (days) to selection of NA in all cases	44.	52.	56.	57.	59.	59.68
113	Number of all cases with NA selected	1,779	2,521	3,388	4,092	4,770	5,432
114	Percentage of all cases with NA selected						
115	Number of cases in which a NA recused self after appointment	72		183	205	255	304
116	Number of cases which have a NA (excluding cases that have a	1,779	2,521	3,388	4,092	4,770	5,432
117	Number of cases with NA selection, but no postponement or disqualification	1,305	1,705	2,150	2,550	2,881	3,229
118	Percentage of cases with NA selection, but no postponement or disqualification	73 %	68 %	63 %	62 %	60.4 %	59.44 %
119	Average number of days to select NA in no postponement/disqualification cases	24	25	25	25	25	25
120	Mode number of days to select NA in no postponement/disqualification cases	22	22	22	22	22	22
121	Median number of days to select NA in no postponement/disqualification cases	24	24	24	24	24	24
122	Lowest number of days to select NA in no postponement/disqualification cases	0	0	0	0	0	0
123	Highest number of days to select NA in no postponement/disqualification cases	101	101	101	101	101	101
124	Number of cases in which party has obtained a 90 day postponement (includes cases with no NA)	538	993	1,016	1,770	2,175	2,544
125	Percentage of cases in which party has obtained a 90 day postponement (includes cases with no NA)	26 %	31 %	30 %			33.75 %
126	Number of cases with NA selected and postponement was only delay	356	639	1,015	1,297	1,602	1,890
127	Average number of days to select NA in postponement only cases	105.	109.	111.	111.	111.	111.05
128	Mode number of days to select NA in postponement only cases	112	112	112	112	113	113
129	Median number of days to select NA in postponement only cases	113	113	114	114	114	114
130	Lowest number of days to select NA in postponement only cases	20	20	20	20	20	20

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	CUM_2001	CUM_2002	CUM_2003	CUM_2004	CUM_2005	CUM_2006
131	Highest number of days to select NA in postponement only cases	141	262	262	329	329	329
132	Number of cases where an NA has been disqualified but there was no postponement	88	116	129	141	157	170
133	Average number of days to select a NA in cases with disqualified NA but no postponement	64.	64.	66.	64.	65.	64.21
134	Median number of days to select a NA in cases with disqualified NA but no postponement	60	59	61	60	60	60
135	Mode number of days to select a NA in cases with disqualified NA but no postponement	56	56	56	56	56	56
136	Lowest number of days to select a NA in cases with disqualified NA but no postponement	28	26	26	26	26	24
137	Highest number of days to select a NA in cases with disqualified NA but no postponement	161	236	236	236	236	236
138	Number of cases with both postponement and disqualifications	30	61	93	104	130	143
139	Average number of days to select NA in cases with both postponement and disqualifications	148.	160.	158.	158.	161.	163.37
140	Median number of days to select NA in cases with both postponement and disqualifications	147	155	156	155	155	155
141	Mode number of days to select NA in cases with both postponement and disqualifications	144	141	155	148	148	148
142	Lowest number of days to select NA in cases with both postponement and disqualifications	78	78	78	78	78	78
143	Highest number of days to select NA in cases with both postponement and disqualifications	253	383	253	253	380	380
144	Average number of days in all cases to select a NA (excluding	44.	52.	56.	57.	59.	59.68
145	Number of cases closed after hearing with designation of a party arbitrator	14	27	35	45	55	63
146	Number of open cases with designation of a party arbitrator	20	25	27	29	23	15
147	Number of open cases with designation of a party arbitrator from both parties	4	7	8	17	16	9
148	Number of times the OIA has temporarily removed (suspended) NAs from pool for failure to timely serve disclosures	4	6	8	9	9	11
149	Number reinstated to OIA pool (disclosure)	4	5	8	9	9	15

Line	Description	CUM_2001	CUM_2002	CUM_2003	CUM_2004	CUM_2005	CUM_2006
150	Number of times the OIA has temporarily removed NA from pool for failure to submit a timely AMC	4	8	17	34	37	117
151	Number reinstated to OIA pool (AMC)	4	6	16	33	36	30
152	Number of cases in which the OIA has received a completed MSM	649	1,004	1,423	1,821	2,193	2,544
153	Number of cases in which the OIA has never received a completed MSM form that was due	267	440	575	728	821	899
154	Number of times NA temporarily suspended from OIA pool for failure to serve an award	0	2	5	6	12	14
155	Number of open cases	766	912	865	796	805	753
156	Number of open cases awaiting the payment of the filing fee or completed the fee waiver request	32	40	56	33	28	44
157	Number of open cases in process of selecting NA	102	146	159	165	154	133
158	Number of open cases with NA selected	632	726	650	598	623	575
159	Number of open cases where the arbitrator management conference has been held	466	503	510	470	502	478
160	Percentage of open cases where the arbitrator management conference has been held	74 %	55 %	59 %	59 %	62 %	63.48 %
161	Number of open cases where the parties held the mandatory settlement meeting	106	114	145	159	166	141
162	Number of cases where the hearing had been held, but the OIA had not yet been served with the decision	2	4	5	3	4	10
163	Number of open mandatory open cases	551	812	823	775	786	731
164	Percentage of open mandatory open cases	72 %	89 %	95 %	97 %	98 %	97.08 %
165	Number of all cases that are closed	1,433	2,292	3,311	4,232	5,052	5,945
166	Percentage of all cases that are closed	65 %	72 %	79 %	84 %	86 %	78.88 %
167	Number of cases closed "other" ways (Consolidated/Other/Judgment on Pleadings/Split)	10	20	28	18	28	35
168	Number of closed cases - settlements	630	1,017	1,519	1,896	2,234	2,613
169	Percentage of closed cases - settlements	44 %	44 %	46 %	45 %	44 %	39.15 %
170	Number of closed cases - withdrawn	285	493	727	973	1,194	1,435
171	Percentage of closed cases - withdrawn	20 %	22 %	22 %	23 %	24 %	21.5 %
172	Number of closed cases - dismissed	44	71	81	114	130	155
173	Percentage of closed cases -dismissed	3 %	3 %	3 %	3 %	2.5 %	2.32 %
174	Number of closed cases - abandoned	53	81	125	165	201	238

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	CUM_2001	CUM_2002	CUM_2003	CUM_2004	CUM_2005	CUM_2006
175	Percentage of closed cases - abandoned	4 %	4 %	4 %	4 %	4 %	3.57 %
176	Number of closed cases - summary judgments	183	277	365	440	512	580
177	Percentage of closed cases -summary judgments	13 %	12 %	11 %	10 %	10 %	8.69 %
178	Number of closed cases - award after hearing	228	350	483	626	753	866
179	Percentage of closed cases - award after hearing	16 %	15 %	15 %	15 %	15 %	12.97 %
180	Number of closed cases - consolidated/other/split/judgment on	10	3	11	18	28	35
181	Percentage of closed cases - consolidated/other/split/judgment on pleadings	1 %	0.1 %	0.3 %	0.4 %	0.5 %	0.52 %
182	Number of cases that have not closed on time	1	6	18	22	26	32
183	Average number of days for OIA cases to close	259.	273.	287.	295.	301.	305.82
184	Median number of days for OIA cases to close	252	264	274	284	288	292
185	Mode number of days for OIA cases to close	288	274	274	266	364	308
186	Lowest number of days for OIA cases to close	4	3	1	1	1	0
187	Highest number of days for OIA cases to close	802	1,181	1,485	1,485	1,705	1,705
188	Number of closed cases	1,351	2,140	3,105	3,961	4,721	5,536
189	Average number of days to close - decided after hearing	328.	357.	381.	398.	410.	426.32
190	Average number of days to close - summary judgment	289.	286.	297.	306.	316.	320.94
191	Average number of days to close - settled	253.	271.	287.	293.	296.	299.78
192	Average number of days to close - dismissed	281.	289.	315.	315.	317.	328.33
193	Average number of days to close - withdrawn	190.	203.	212.	221.	227.	233.21
194	Average number of days to close - other closures			370.	213.	370.	205.86
195	Average number of days to close - overall average	259.	273.	287.	295.	301.	305.82
196	Number of closed cases - settlements	630	1,017	1,519	1,896	2,234	2,613
197	Percentage of closed cases - settlements	44 %	44 %	46 %	45 %	44 %	39.15 %
198	Average number of days to close - settled	253	271	287	293	296	300
199	Median number of days to close - settled	253	262	280	286	287	290
200	Mode number of days to close - settled	119	231	280	280	280	280
201	Lowest number of days to close - settled	4	4	1	1	1	1
202	Highest number of days to close - settled	802	986	1,485	1,485	1,705	1,705
203	Number of settled cases in which the claimant in pro per	65	91	138	156	167	202
204	Number of closed cases - withdrawn	285	493	727	973	1,194	1,435
205	Percentage of closed cases - withdrawn	20 %	22 %	22 %	23 %	24 %	21.5 %
206	Number of cases that closed as withdrawn with pro per claimaints	131	205	296	374	429	499
207	Average number of days to close - withdrawn	190.	203.	212.	221.	227.	233.21

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	CUM_2001	CUM_2002	CUM_2003	CUM_2004	CUM_2005	CUM_2006
208	Median number of days for withdrawn cases to close	165	176	187	198	205	212
209	Mode number of days for withdrawn cases to close	112	112	112	126	112	112
210	Lowest number of days for withdrawn cases to close	5	3	3	3	3	0
211	Highest number of days for withdrawn cases to close	744	1,036	1,036	1,036	1,036	1,038
212	Number of closed cases - dismissed	44	71	81	114	130	155
213	Percentage of closed cases - dismissed	3 %	3 %	2 %	3 %	2.5 %	2.32 %
214	Number of cases closed as dismissed with pro per claimants	28	39	50	75	85	102
215	Number of closed cases - abandoned	53	81	125	165	201	238
216	Percentage of closed cases - abandoned	4 %	4 %	4 %	4 %	4 %	3.57 %
217	Number of cases closed as abandoned with pro per claimants	36	50	77	93	112	132
218	Number of closed cases - summary judgments	183	277	365	440	512	580
219	Percentage of closed cases -summary judgments	13 %	12 %	11 %	10 %	10 %	8.69 %
220	Number of cases closed by summary judgment with pro per claimants	133	197	263	317	366	410
221	Number of cases where summary judgment granted because claimant lacked an expert	84	108	136	156	182	202
222	Number of cases where summary judgment granted because claimant filed no opposition	52	86	125	160	185	205
223	Number of cases where summary judgment granted because DFA made after the statute of limitations	16	23	27	34	43	50
224	Number of cases where summary judgment granted for any other reason (Res judicata, waiver or release, no evidence of negligence)	1	2	2	5	6	8
225	Average number of days to close - summary judgment	289.	286.	297.	306.	316.	320.94
226	Median number of days to close - summary judgment	271	271	278	292	299	306
227	Mode number of days to close - summary judgment	221	153	232	232	232	232
228	Lowest number of days to close - summary judgment	77	77	77	77	77	77
229	Highest number of days to close - summary judgment	767	769	871	871	1,075	1,075
230	Number of cases where summary judgment granted because the claimant failed to show causation	12	18	19	20	22	22
231	Number of cases where summary judgment granted because no triable issue of fact	18	40	56	65	74	93
232	Number of closed cases - award after hearing	228	350	483	626	753	866
233	Percentage of closed cases - award after hearing	16 %	15 %	15 %	15 %	15 %	12.97 %
234	Number of cases closed after hearing where respondent prevailed	144	212	298	393	466	536
235	Percentage of cases closed after hearing where respondent prevailed	63 %	61 %	62 %	63 %	62 %	61.89 %

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	CUM_2001	CUM_2002	CUM_2003	CUM_2004	CUM_2005	CUM_2006
236	Number of cases closed after hearing where Kaiser prevailed with pro per claimant	44	58	68	80	88	95
237	Number of cases closed after hearing where claimant prevailed	84	138	185	233	287	330
238	Percentage of cases closed after hearing where claimant prevailed	37 %	39 %	38 %	37 %	38 %	38.11 %
239	Number of cases closed after hearing where claimant prevailed with pro per claimant	5	9	12	16	18	18
240	Average number of days to end of hearing	318.	345.	369.	386.	398.	413.98
241	Average number of days for hearing cases to close	328.	357.	381.	398.	410.	426.32
242	Median number of days for hearing cases to close	315	334	348	363	370	384
243	Mode number of days for hearing cases to close	288	288	344	344	288	288
244	Lowest number of days for hearing cases to close	39	39	39	23	23	23
245	Highest number of days for hearing cases to close	737	1,181	1,181	1,181	1,208	1,651
246	Number of cases where claimants have received an award in their	84	138	185	233	287	330
247	Percentage of cases where claimants have received an award in their favor	37 %	39 %	38 %	37 %	38 %	38.11 %
248	Largest claimant award	5,600,000	5,600,000	5,600,000	5,600,000	5,600,000	5,594,605
249	Average claimant award	207,571	277,000	276,000	299,000	297,000	316,284
250	Median claimant award	80,421	96,000	106,000	125,000	150,000	157,500
251	Mode claimant award	175,000	175,000	175,000	250,000	250,000	250,000
252	Lowest claimant award	2,500	500	1	1	1	1
253	Highest claimant award	5,600,000	5,600,000	5,600,000	5,600,000	5,600,000	5,594,605
254	Number of claimants who have requested expedited process	32	42	45	72	72	83
255	Number of requests for expedited process made to OIA (not a NA)	26	34	36	55	55	62
256	Number of requests for expedited process the OIA granted	19	26	28	46	46	51
257	Number of requests for expedited process the OIA denied without prejudice	7	8	8	0	0	11
258	Number of requests for expedited process to which respondent	6	7	7	8	8	9
259	Number of requests for expedited process to which respondent objected but OIA granted	4	5	5	6	6	6
260	Number of requests for expedited process to which respondent objected and OIA denied	2	2	2	2	2	3
261	Number of requests for expedited process granted by NA after prior OIA denial	1	1	1	2	2	2
262	Number of requests for expedited process made to Nas	7	8	9	14	14	17

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	CUM_2001	CUM_2002	CUM_2003	CUM_2004	CUM_2005	CUM_2006
263	Number of requests for expedited process made to NAs granted	4	7	8	11	11	13
264	Number of requests for expedited process made to NAs denied	3	1	1	3	3	4
265	Number of expedited cases	22	31	32	44	50	57
266	Percent of expedited cases constitute of all OIA cases	1 %	1 %	1 %	1 %	1 %	0.85 %
267	Number of expedited cases remaining open	2	2	1	5	2	4
268	Smallest number of days for an expedited case to close	20	20	20	20	20	20
269	How the expedited case with the smallest number of days closed	Settled	Settled	Settled	Settled	Settled	
270	Smallest number of days for an expedited case to close after hearing	39	39	39	23	23	23
271	Have all expedited cases closed within their set time periods?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
272	Are open expedited cases on schedule?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
273	Average number of days for an expedited case to close	149.	149.	152.	146.	151.	146.09
274	Median number of days for an expedited case to close		117	117	118	115	112
275	Mode number of days for an expedited case to close		104	104	104	100	65
276	Lowest number of days for an expedited case to close	20	20	20	20	20	20
277	Highest number of days for an expedited case to close	436	476	476	476	476	476
278	Number of expedited cases with benefits and coverage issues	2	2	2	2	2	2
279	Have the expedited cases with benefits and coverage issues been completed/closed within their set time periods?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
280	Number of cases designated as complex by Nas	40	54	64	97	140	174
281	Number of closed complex cases	23	41	60	77	107	144
282	Average number of days for a complex case to close	576.	632.	648.	644.	645.	665.47
283	Median number of days for a complex case to close	596	617	626	629	623	636
284	Mode number of days for a complex case to close		679	504	623	623	559
285	Lowest number of days for a complex case to close	228	228	228	228	228	228
286	Highest number of days for a complex case to close	798	1,036	1,036	1,036	1,036	1,378
287	Number of complex cases so designated because of complex medical issues	17	24	29	42	49	61
288	Number of complex cases so designated because of complex discovery issues	14	18	21	30	36	44
289	Number of cases designated as extraordinary	2	3	9	10	14	21
290	Number of closed extraordinary cases	0	1	5	7	10	13
291	Average number of days for extraordinary cases to close		1,181.	1,018.	1,022.	973.	991.77
292	Number of Rule 28 extensions of time had been granted which extended cases beyond 18 months	54	110	184	260	342	401

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	CUM_2001	CUM_2002	CUM_2003	CUM_2004	CUM_2005	CUM_2006
293	Number of Rule 28 E cases that are open	22	72	47	53	60	41
294	Number of Rule 28 E cases that are closed	32	38	137	207	282	360
295	Average number of days in which Rule 28 E cases close	583.	639.	654.	656.	661.	667.54
296	Median number of days in which Rule 28 E cases close	593	604	630	624	630	627
297	Mode number of days in which Rule 28 E cases close		476	637	585	587	564
298	Lowest number of days in which Rule 28 E cases close	292	292	292	168	168	168
299	Highest number of days in which Rule 28 E cases close	802	1,126	1,126	1,285	1,285	1,651
300	Number of completed fee waiver applications the OIA has received	180	245	325	375	419	472
301	Number of fee waiver requests OIA granted	161	226	302	358	399	450
302	Number of fee waiver requests OIA denied	11	15	15	17	18	19
303	Number of denied cases where claimant subsequently failed to pay the fee and cases closed as abandoned	2	3	3	3	3	3
304	Number of cases where respondent objected to the fee waiver request	3	4	4	4	6	6
305	OIA rulings granted in cases where respondent has objected to fee waiver	1	2	2	2	3	3
306	OIA rulings denied in cases where respondent has objected to fee	2	2	2	2	3	3
307	Number of fee waiver requests pending	8	0	3	0	1	3
308	Number of claimants who did not return requested fee waiver applications and left the system for non-payment of fees	11	15	24	28	34	3
309	Number of evaluations the OIA mailed out	2,354	3,034	4,468	5,828	6,840	8,038
310	Number of evaluations returned	1,074	1,433	2,066	2,656	2,936	3,384
311	Percentage of evaluations returned	46 %	47 %	46 %	46 %	43 %	84.2 %
312	Number of responses from claimant side	367	496	730	958	1,066	1,230
313	Number of responses from claimant attorneys	304	412	611	805	896	1,047
314	Number of responses from Pro Pers	63	84	119	153	170	183
315	Number of responses from respondent attorneys	668	885	1,262	1,611	1,779	2,033
316	Number of questionnaires OIA sent to NA	1,177	1,517	2,234	2,914	3,420	4,019
317	Number of questionnaires returned by NA	964	1,277	1,852	2,448	2,931	3,421
318	Percentage of questionnaires returned by NA	82 %	84 %	83 %	84 %	86 %	85.12 %
319	Number of cases where NAs granted a Rule 28 extension to hold an AMC without extending the 18 month deadline	21		93	109	142	177
320	Number of Rule 28 Postponement of AMC	21	61	93	109	142	184
321	Number of Rule 28 Court ordered potponement		3	4	5	6	8
322	Number of Rule 28 postponement extending 18 month time period	54	110	184	269	370	401

Appendix 2001 through 2006.xls

Line	Description	CUM_2001	CUM_2002	CUM_2003	CUM_2004	CUM_2005	CUM_2006
323	Number of Rule 28 postponement to submit filing fee	4	42	63	66	76	90
324	Number of Rule 28 postponement of hearing within time period	5	9	79	128	179	229
325	Number of Rule 28 postponement of NA selection	5	24	88	118	143	160
326	Number of Rule 28 OIA grants postponement proceedings			4	5	1	1
327	Number of Rule 28 NA grants postponement proceedings		15	17	19	26	27